UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION CASE NO. 5:17-cv-05671-BLF VLSI TECHNOLOGY LLC, [PROPOSED] ORDER TO COMPEL Plaintiff, VLSI TO SUPPLEMENT ITS DAMAGES **CONTENTIONS** v. INTEL CORPORATION, Defendant.

Having considered the submissions and arguments of the parties, the Court orders Plaintiff VLSI Technology LLC to supplement its June 21, 2018 Patent L.R. 3-8 Damages Contentions within 14 calendar days to describe with specificity the bases for its damages claim, including:

- 1. An identification and explanation of each specific damages theory that VLSI intends to pursue.
- 2. All facts known to VLSI that support each such damages theory.
- 3. All calculations known to VLSI on which VLSI bases each such damages theory.
- 4. If VLSI contends that damages should be calculated by multiplying a royalty base by a royalty rate, (i) an explanation of VLSI's alleged royalty base and all facts and documents that allegedly support such base; and (ii) an explanation of VLSI's alleged royalty rate and all facts and documents that allegedly support such rate.
- 5. If VLSI contends that damages should be determined using patent license or transfer agreements, (i) the identity of the specific agreements on which VLSI relies; (ii) an explanation of how the payments set forth in the agreements support VLSI's damages claim; and (iii) an explanation of VLSI's bases for contending that the agreements are comparable to a hypothetical license to the asserted patents.
- 6. If VLSI contends that damages should be determined using some other method: (i) a description of the method; (ii) all facts known to VLSI that support such method; (iii) all calculations known to VLSI that support such method; (iv) all documents that support such method; and (v) an explanation of any other evidence or methods known to VLSI for quantifying the value of the asserted patents.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 26

The Hon. Nathanael M. Cousins UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28

27